EVALUATION MATRIX ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STRATEGIES 2/28/2017

KEY	+	Advantageous
	0	Neutral
	1	Disadvantageous

	OPTION A	OPTION D	OPTION E	
	01 1101174	0	01 11011 2	
PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA	3 Section	3 Section	3 Section	COMMENTS
1 TOTAL PROJECT COST (\$MILLION)	\$83.0	\$85.8	\$84.6	INCLUDES WORK AT SOULE
2 TRAFFIC	0	0	0	ALL OPTIONS EFFECTIVELY IDENTICAL
3 OPEN SPACE	0	0	0	ALL OPTIONS EFFECTIVELY IDENTICAL
4 IMPACT TO NEIGHBORS		+		D HAS SMALLEST APPARENT MASSING, RESIDENTIAL SCALE FACING
E CAFETY OUTSIDE COULOU DUM DING	0		0	NEIGHBORS
5 SAFETY - OUTSIDE SCHOOL BUILDING	0	0	-	SOME E COURTYARD AREA NOT VISIBLE FROM EXTERIOR
6 SECURITY - INSIDE SCHOOL BUILDING	0	+	0	D HAS CLEAREST LINES OF SIGHT
7 EDUCATION PLAN ENHANCEMENT	0	+	0	2 GROUP COMMONS FACILITATE COLLABORATION
8 CLASSROOM SHAPES AND ADJACENCIES	0	0	0	ALL OPTIONS EFFECTIVELY IDENTICAL
9 COHORT CONFIGURATION	+	+	0	A AND D HAVE MOST CLEARLY DEFINED COHORTS
10 BENEFICIAL RELATIONSHIP WITH SOULE	+	+	+	ALL OPTIONS ENLARGE FIELDS, REBUILD CURRENTLY INACCESSIBLE GYM
11 COMMUNITY USE	+	+	+	ALL OPTIONS ALLOW COMMUNITY USE OF GYM AND AUDITORIUM
12 FLEXIBILITY OF USE - FUTURE USE	0	0	0	ALL OPTIONS EFFECTIVELY IDENTICAL
13 LEED /SUSTAINABILITY / DAYLIGHTING	+	+	0	A AND D HAVE BEST SOLAR ORIENTATION
14 BUILDING MASSING/SITE COVERAGE	0	+	+	D AND E MORE HUMAN SCALE
15 OUTDOOR PLAY AREA	0	0	0	ALL OPTION COMPARABLE
16 MEP ACCOMMODATION	+	+	0	A AND D HAVE BEST SOLAR ORIENTATION - IMPACTS ENERGY LOADS
17 ATHLETIC USE	+	+	+	ALL OPTIONS TO HAVE ACCESS TO ENLARGED SOULE UPPER FIELDS
18 DELIVERIES	0	0	0	ALL OPTIONS EFFECTIVELY IDENTICAL
19 SCHEDULE DURATION / RISK	0	0	0	ALL OPTIONS EFFECTIVELY IDENTICAL
20 SITE REMEDIATION	0	0	0	ALL OPTIONS EFFECTIVELY IDENTICAL
21 HAZARDOUS MATERIAL REMOVAL	0	0	0	ALL OPTIONS EFFECTIVELY IDENTICAL
22 LONG TERM MAINTENANCE / REPAIR COST	0	0	-	E HAS MOST EXTERIOR SKIN
23 OPERATING COST	+	+	0	SOLAR ORIENTATION AND EXT SKIN QUANTITY IMPACT ENERGY LOADS